

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

In re:)	Chapter 11
)	
IGNITE RESTAURANT GROUP, INC., <i>et al.</i> , ¹)	Case No. 17-33550 (DRJ)
)	
Debtors.)	(Jointly Administered)
)	

**GUC TRUST'S SIXTEENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 502(B) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND RULE 3007 OF
THE FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE SEEKING TO REDUCE
AND ALLOW CERTAIN CLAIMS**

THIS IS AN OBJECTION TO YOUR CLAIM. THE OBJECTING PARTY IS ASKING THE COURT TO REDUCE AND ALLOW THE CLAIM THAT YOU FILED IN THESE BANKRUPTCY CASES. YOU SHOULD IMMEDIATELY CONTACT THE OBJECTING PARTY TO RESOLVE THE DISPUTE. IF YOU DO NOT REACH AN AGREEMENT, YOU MUST FILE A RESPONSE TO THIS OBJECTION AND SEND A COPY OF YOUR RESPONSE TO THE OBJECTING PARTY WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THE OBJECTION WAS SERVED ON YOU. YOUR RESPONSE MUST STATE WHY THE OBJECTION IS NOT VALID. IF YOU DO NOT FILE A RESPONSE WITHIN 30 DAYS AFTER THE OBJECTION WAS SERVED ON YOU, YOUR CLAIM MAY BE REDUCED AND ALLOWED WITHOUT A HEARING.

A HEARING HAS BEEN SET ON THIS MATTER ON JULY 24, 2019 AT 2:00 P.M. (CENTRAL TIME) AND WILL BE HELD IN COURTROOM 400, 4TH FLOOR UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS, 515 RUSK STREET, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77002.

THIS OBJECTION SEEKS TO REDUCE AND ALLOW CERTAIN PROOFS OF CLAIM. CLAIMANTS RECEIVING THIS OBJECTION SHOULD LOCATE THEIR NAMES AND CLAIMS ON SCHEDULE 1 TO EXHIBIT A ATTACHED TO THIS OBJECTION.

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number (if any), are: Ignite Restaurant Group, Inc. (1359); Ignite Restaurant Group – RSC LLC (1791); Joe's Crab Shack, LLC (4189); Joe's Crab Shack – Redondo Beach, Inc. (5107); BHTT Entertainment, LLC (9818); Ignite Restaurants – New Jersey, LLC (5907); Joe's Crab Shack – Maryland, LLC (5297); Joe's Crab Shack – Anne Arundel MD, LLC (9318); Brick House Development, LLC (2944); JCS Monmouth Mall – NJ, LLC (3509); JCS Development LLC (4235). The Debtors' service address is: 10555 Richmond Avenue, Houston, Texas 77042.

Drivetrain, LLC, in its capacity as Trustee (the “GUC Trustee”) of the Ignite Restaurant Group GUC Trust (the “GUC Trust”) files this *Sixteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims Pursuant to Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure Seeking to Reduce and Allow Certain Claims* (this “Objection”). In support of this Objection, the GUC Trustee respectfully represents as follows:

Jurisdiction and Venue

1. This Court has jurisdiction over this case and this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 157 and 1334. This is a core proceeding within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 157(b)(2)(B). Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409(a).

2. The predicates for the relief requested herein are section 502(b) of title 11 of the United States Code (“Bankruptcy Code”) and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the “Bankruptcy Rules”).

Relief Requested

3. The GUC Trustee respectfully requests entry of an order, substantially in the form attached hereto as **Exhibit A** (the “Order”) reducing and allowing each claim identified with a “Claim No.” on **Schedule 1** to the Order (collectively, the “Reduce and Allow Claims”) to the amount indicated in the column titled “Modified Claim Amount to Be Allowed” on **Schedule 1** to the Order because each of the Reduce and Allow Claims overstates the liability against the Debtors’ estates for the reasons set forth on **Schedule 1** and should be reduced accordingly.

General Background

4. On June 6, 2017 (the “Petition Date”), each of the Debtors filed a voluntary petition with the Court under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. The cases are being jointly administered pursuant to Bankruptcy Rule 1015(b).

5. The factual background relating to the Debtors' commencement of these cases is set forth in detail in the *Declaration of Jonathan Tibus in Support of Chapter 11 Petitions and First Day Motions* [Docket No. 4] filed on the Petition Date and incorporated herein by reference.

6. The Debtors have continued in possession of their properties as debtors-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code.

7. On August 29, 2017, the Debtors closed the sale of substantially all of their assets.

8. On September 18, 2017, the Debtors filed: (a) the Debtors' Joint Chapter 11 Plan as of September 18, 2017 [Docket No. 708] (the "Plan"); and (b) the Disclosure Statement with Respect to the Debtors' Joint Chapter 11 Plan as of September 18, 2017 [Docket No. 709] (the "Disclosure Statement").

9. On November 29, 2017, the Court confirmed the Plan and on December 1, 2017, entered the *Findings of Fact, Conclusions of Law, and Order Confirming the Debtors' Joint Chapter 11 Plan as of September 18, 2017* (the "Confirmation Order") [Docket No. 967]. The Plan became effective on December 19, 2017 (the "Effective Date"). See Docket No. 1031.

10. Under the Plan, Drivetrain, LLC was appointed as the GUC Trustee of the Ignite Restaurant Group GUC Trust.

11. Pursuant to the Plan, the GUC Trustee is a party in interest with respect to all objections to Claims and all compromises of such objections. Plan, Section 8.04 ("... the GUC Trustee and other parties in interest to the extent provided by section 502(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, on and after the Effective Date, shall have the right to File objections to Claims . . .").

The Claims Reconciliation Process

12. On June 7, 2017, the Court established September 1, 2017 as the bar date for filing claims against the Debtors held by non-governmental entities and December 3, 2017 as the bar date for filing claims against the Debtors held by governmental entities [Docket No. 65].

13. On July 20, 2017, the Debtors filed their Schedules of Assets and Liabilities and Statements of Financial Affairs.

14. On November 13, 2017, the Court entered the *Order Approving Omnibus Claims Objection Procedures and Filing of Substantive Omnibus Claims Objections* [Docket No. 886], approving and establishing the proposed objection procedures (the “Objection Procedures”). This Objection is filed in accordance with the Objection Procedures.

15. The official claims register, prepared and maintained by the Debtors’ claims agent, reflects that over 1,450 proofs of claim were filed against the Debtors’ estates.

16. Since the Effective Date, the GUC Trustee and its advisors have been working diligently to review these proofs of claim, including any supporting documentation filed together with any proof of claim. To date, the GUC Trustee has objected to numerous claims through omnibus objections and also separately resolved certain claims through consensual stipulations. The GUC Trustee continues to review and reconcile claims for which it is responsible.

17. Attached hereto as **Exhibit B** is the *Declaration of Ivona Smith in Support of the Sixteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims Pursuant to Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure Seeking to Reduce and Allow Certain Claims* (the “Declaration”).

Basis for Relief

18. Pursuant to section 502(a) of the Bankruptcy Code, a filed proof of claim is deemed allowed, unless a party in interest objects. 11 U.S.C. § 502(a). A properly executed and filed proof of claim constitutes *prima facie* evidence of the validity and the amount of the claim under section 502(a) of the Bankruptcy Code. Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3001(f). To receive the benefit of *prima facie* validity, however, a proof of claim must assert factual allegations which would entitle the claimant to a recovery. *In re Heritage Org., LLC*, 04-35574 (BJH), 206 WL 6508477, at *8 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. Jan. 27, 2006). Additionally, a claimant's proof of claim is entitled to the presumption of *prima facie* validity under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f) only until an objecting party refutes at least one of the allegations that is essential to the claim's legal sufficiency. *In re Starnes*, 231 B.R. 903, 912 (N.D. Tex. 1998). Once an allegation is refuted, "the burden shifts to the claimant to prove by a preponderance of the evidence." *In re Congress, LLC*, 529 B.R. 213, 219 (Bankr. W.D. Tex. 2015); *see also Cavu/Rock Props. Project I, LLC v. Gold Star Constr., Inc. (In re Cavu/Rock Props. Project I, LLC)*, 516 B.R. 414, 422 (Bankr. W.D. Tex. 2014). "The ultimate burden of proof always lies with the claimant." *In re Armstrong*, 347 B.R. 581, 583 (Bankr. N.D. Tex. 2006).

19. Bankruptcy Rule 3007 requires that an objection to a proof of claim be made in writing, and that the claimant be provided with not less than thirty days' notice of the hearing to be held in respect of such objection. See Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(a). Objections of up to one hundred claims may be joined in an omnibus objection if such objections are based on the grounds that the claims should be disallowed, in whole or in part, for any of eight enumerated

reasons, including: “they were presented in a form that does not comply with applicable rules, and the objection states that the objector is unable to determine the validity of the claim because of noncompliance.” *See* Fed. R. Bankr. P. 3007(d).

20. In addition, pursuant to the Objection Procedures, the GUC Trustee is authorized to file omnibus objections to claims on grounds that such claims, in part or in whole, (a) are inconsistent with the Debtors’ books and records; (b) fail to specify the asserted claim amount (other than “unliquidated”); (c) seek recovery of amounts for which the Debtors are not liable; (d) are incorrectly or improperly classified; (e) have been formally withdrawn by the claimant through the filing of a pleading through the entry of a Court order indicating withdrawal of the claim; (f) are filed against non-debtors or are filed against multiple Debtors; (g) fail to specify a Debtor against whom the claim is asserted; (h) are disallowed pursuant to section 502 of the Bankruptcy Code; or (i) fail to sufficiently specify the basis for the claim or provide sufficient supporting documentation therefor. Objection Procedures ¶ 1(i).

21. The GUC Trustee has reviewed each of the Reduce and Allow Claims listed on **Schedule 1** to **Exhibit A**, and has determined that each such claim overstates the general unsecured liability against the Debtors’ estates and thus should be reduced to the amounts indicated on **Schedule 1** for the reasons set forth on **Schedule 1**. All of the Reduce and Allow Claims are rejection damage type claims that have overstated the liability against the estates, have not properly calculated the asserted damages based on the section 502(b)(6) statutory cap under the Bankruptcy Code, include amounts within the damages calculation that are not proper or compensable, or, in certain claims, have not included any evidence of the claimant’s efforts to mitigate damages where such efforts are required under applicable law or whether any such

mitigation was successful since the claim was filed, thereby reducing the asserted damages. *See* Declaration at ¶ 6.

22. Accordingly, the GUC Trustee respectfully requests that the Court reduce and allow each of the Reduce and Allow Claims to the modified claim amounts set forth on **Schedule 1**.

Reservation of Rights

23. In the event that any of the Reduce and Allow Claims are not reduced on the grounds asserted herein, the GUC Trustee hereby reserves its rights to object to such Proofs of Claim on any other grounds. Additionally, the GUC Trustee expressly reserves the right to amend, modify, or supplement the objections asserted herein and to file additional objections to the Proofs of Claim or any other claims that may be asserted against the Debtors' estates.

24. Nothing contained herein or any actions taken pursuant to such relief is intended or should be construed as: (a) an admission as to the validity of any prepetition claim against a Debtor entity or such Debtor entity's estate; (b) a waiver of any party's right to dispute any prepetition claim on any grounds; (c) a promise or requirement to pay any prepetition claim; (d) an implication or admission that any particular claim is of a type specified or defined in this Objection or any order granting the relief requested by this Objection; (e) a request or authorization to assume any prepetition agreement, contract, or lease pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code; or (f) a waiver of the GUC Trustee's rights under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law.

Separate Contested Matter

25. To the extent that a response is filed regarding any Reduce and Allow Claim and the GUC Trustee is unable to resolve any such response, each such Reduce and Allow Claim,

and the Objection as it pertains to such Reduce and Allow Claim, will constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. Further, the GUC Trustee requests that any order entered by the Court regarding an objection or other reply asserted in response to this Objection be deemed a separate order with respect to each proof of claim.

Notice

26. Notice of this Objection has been given to: (a) all parties on the Master Service List; and (b) holders of the Reduce and Allow Claims. The GUC Trustee respectfully submits that such notice is sufficient and proper under the circumstances and that no other or further notice is required.

Conclusion

WHEREFORE, based upon the foregoing, the GUC Trustee respectfully request that the Court: (a) sustain this Objection; (b) enter an order substantially in the form of the order attached hereto as **Exhibit A** sustaining this Objection and providing that the Reduce and Allow Claims shall be reduced and allowed at the amounts indicated on **Schedule 1** to **Exhibit A**; and (c) grant such other and further relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 6, 2019

COLE SCHOTZ P.C.

/s/ Michael D. Warner

PACHULSKI STANG ZIEHL & JONES LLP

Bradford J. Sandler (admitted *pro hac vice*)

Peter J. Keane (admitted *pro hac vice*)

919 North Market Street, 17th Floor

Wilmington, DE 19801

Telephone: (302) 652-4100

Email: bsandler@pszjlaw.com

pkeane@pszjlaw.com

-and-

Michael D. Warner (TX Bar No. 00792304)

Benjamin L. Wallen (TX Bar No. 24102623)

301 Commerce Street, Suite 1700

Fort Worth, TX 76102

Telephone: (817) 810-5265

Facsimile: (817) 977-1611

Email: mwarner@coleschotz.com

bwallen@coleschotz.com

Counsel to the GUC Trustee

EXHIBIT A

Proposed Order

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

In re:)	Chapter 11
)	
IGNITE RESTAURANT GROUP, INC., et al.,¹)	Case No. 17-33550 (DRJ)
)	
Debtors.)	(Jointly Administered)
)	

**ORDER SUSTAINING
SIXTEENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 502(B) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND RULE 3007 OF
THE FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE SEEKING TO REDUCE
AND ALLOW CERTAIN CLAIMS**

Upon the objection (the “Objection”)² of Drivetrain, LLC, in its capacity as Trustee (the “GUC Trustee”) of the Ignite Restaurant Group GUC Trust (the “GUC Trust”) seeking entry of an order (this “Order”) reducing and allowing the Reduce and Allow Claims identified on **Schedule 1** attached hereto to the modified amounts listed on **Schedule 1**, it is HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

1. The Objection is sustained as set forth herein.
2. Pursuant to Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Bankruptcy Rule 3007, each Reduce and Allow Claim identified on **Schedule 1** to this Order is hereby reduced and allowed at the amounts indicated in the column titled “Modified Claim Amount to Be Allowed” on **Schedule 1**.

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor’s federal tax identification number (if any), are: Ignite Restaurant Group, Inc. (1359); Ignite Restaurant Group – RSC LLC (1791); Joe’s Crab Shack, LLC (4189); Joe’s Crab Shack – Redondo Beach, Inc. (5107); BHTT Entertainment, LLC (9818); Ignite Restaurants – New Jersey, LLC (5907); Joe’s Crab Shack – Maryland, LLC (5297); Joe’s Crab Shack – Anne Arundel MD, LLC (9318); Brick House Development, LLC (2944); JCS Monmouth Mall – NJ, LLC (3509); JCS Development LLC (4235). The Debtors’ service address is: 10555 Richmond Avenue, Houston, Texas 77042.

² Capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings ascribed to them in the Objection.

3. The Debtors' Claims Agent is authorized and directed to update the claims register maintained in these chapter 11 cases to reflect the relief granted in this Order.

4. Each claim and the objections by the GUC Trustee to each claim identified in **Schedule 1** constitute a separate contested matter as contemplated by Bankruptcy Rule 9014. This Order will be deemed a separate order with respect to each Reduce and Allow Claim.

5. Except as provided herein, nothing in this Order shall be deemed: (a) an admission as to the validity of any prepetition claim against a Debtor entity or such Debtor entity's estate; (b) a waiver of any party's right to dispute any prepetition claim on any grounds; (c) a promise or requirement to pay any prepetition claim; (d) an implication or admission that any particular claim is of a type specified or defined in this Objection or any order granting the relief requested by this Objection; (e) a request or authorization to assume any prepetition agreement, contract, or lease pursuant to section 365 of the Bankruptcy Code; or (f) a waiver of the GUC Trustee's rights under the Bankruptcy Code or any other applicable law.

6. The terms and conditions of this Order will be immediately effective and enforceable upon its entry.

7. The GUC Trustee, the Claims Agent, and the Clerk of the Court are authorized to take all actions necessary to effectuate the relief granted pursuant to this Order in accordance with the Objection.

8. This Court shall retain exclusive jurisdiction to resolve any dispute arising from or related to this Order.

Dated: _____, 2019
Houston, Texas

THE HONORABLE DAVID R. JONES
CHIEF UNITED STATES
BANKRUPTCY JUDGE

SCHEDULE 1

Ignite Restaurant Group, Inc., et al.,
Case No. 17-33550 (Jointly Administered) (Bank. S.D. Tex.)
GUC Trust 16th Omnibus Objection to Claims
Schedule 1 – Reduce and Allow Claims

Seq. No.	Claimant Name:	Date Filed:	Case Number:	Claimed Debtor:	Claim No.	Asserted Claim Amount:	Modified Claim Amount to Be Allowed:	Grounds for Modification:
1	EGate-93, LLC 570 Delaware Ave. Buffalo, NY 14202	8/29/17	17-33558	BHTT Entertainment, LLC	591	\$682,417.23 Unsecured	\$202,349.46 Unsecured	Claim should be reduced from \$682,417.23 to \$202,349.46. Claim is based on lease rejection damages. No section 502(b)(6) calculation included with proof of claim. Claimant is entitled to damages equal to the greater of one year rent (\$170,206.92) or lesser of: 15% of remaining rent (\$85,103.46) or 3 years reserved rent (\$510,620.76). Greater amount is \$170,206.92 plus prepetition amounts owed (\$32,142.54) = \$202,349.46.
2	EklecCo NewCo, LLC Menter, Rudin & Trivelpiece, P.C. Attn: Kevin M. Newman 308 Maltbie Street Suite 200 Syracuse, NY 13204	8/30/17	17-33552	Joe's Crab Shack, LLC	629	\$551,404.62 Unsecured	\$267,484.20 Unsecured	Claim should be reduced from \$551,404.62 to \$267,484.20. Claim is based on lease rejection damages. Claimant improperly asserts "unearned cash allowance" of \$283,920.42 in addition to its section 502(b)(6) claim of \$267,484.20. The basis for the "unearned cash allowance" is set forth in section 3.01(d) of the lease and is effectively an improper liquidated damages provision for early lease termination. But the damages directly relate to termination of the lease. If this portion were allowed, it would subvert the purpose of the section 502(b)(6) cap on rejection damages permitting a double recovery for the same damages.

**Ignite Restaurant Group, Inc., et al.,
Case No. 17-33550 (Jointly Administered) (Bank. S.D. Tex.)
GUC Trust 16th Omnibus Objection to Claims
Schedule 1 – Reduce and Allow Claims**

Seq. No.	Claimant Name:	Date Filed:	Case Number:	Claimed Debtor:	Claim No.	Asserted Claim Amount:	Modified Claim Amount to Be Allowed:	Grounds for Modification:
3	20100 Eastex, LLC c/o David Mestemaker Mestemaker & Straub 3100 Timmons Lane Suite 455 Houston, TX 77027	9/28/17	17-33550	Ignite Restaurant Group, Inc.	1252	\$3,353,853.60 Unsecured	\$398,443.37 Unsecured	Claim should be reduced from \$3,353,853.60 to \$398,443.37. Claimant has failed to provide sufficient information to substantiate the asserted claim amount, despite multiple requests by the GUC Trust. No detail or calculation is provided for the components of the claim, including for any capped rejection damage portion pursuant to section 502(b)(6). Based upon the GUC Trust's calculation of section 502(b)(6) damages, claim should be reduced from \$3,353,853.60 to \$398,443.37. Remaining term of lease was 9 years, 7 months. Claimant is entitled to damages equal to greater of one year rent (\$277,178.00) or lesser of: 15% of the remaining rent (\$398,443.37) or 3 years reserved rent (\$831,534). Greater amount is \$398,443.37. No documentation or support has been provided to meet the burden of proving any additional amounts are owed, including CAM, taxes, etc.
4	Michael Zigelman & Maurina Kussel Trust c/o Michael D. Zigelman, Trustee 189 Vista Drive Arroyo Grande, CA 93420	9/1/17	17-33552	Joe's Crab Shack, LLC	1003	\$1,216,766.02 Unsecured	\$420,667.98 Unsecured	Claim should be reduced from \$1,216,766.02 to \$420,667.98. Claimant did not properly calculate the "Additional Charges" as "Rent" in its section 502(b)(6) rejection damages calculation. Page 6 of the lease defines "Rent" as "collectively, the "Minimum Rent and Additional Charges." Claimant's damage calculation attached to its claim improperly separated the Additional Charges from the calculation of the 502(b)(6) cap. Remaining lease term was 9 years, 7 months. Claimant is entitled to damages equal to the greater of one year rent (\$292,638.60) or lesser of: 15% of remaining rent (\$420,667.98) 3 years reserved rent (\$877,915.80). Greater amount is \$420,667.98.

Ignite Restaurant Group, Inc., et al.,
Case No. 17-33550 (Jointly Administered) (Bank. S.D. Tex.)
GUC Trust 16th Omnibus Objection to Claims
Schedule 1 – Reduce and Allow Claims

Seq. No.	Claimant Name:	Date Filed:	Case Number:	Claimed Debtor:	Claim No.	Asserted Claim Amount:	Modified Claim Amount to Be Allowed:	Grounds for Modification:
5	Tronis-Roeding-Mitchell Family Properties, L.P. Attn: Rachel Biblo Block 2323 Ross Avenue Suite 600 Dallas, TX 75201	8/24/17	17-33552	Joe's Crab Shack, LLC	477	\$507,703.89 Unsecured	\$27,414.92 Unsecured	Claim should be reduced from \$507,703.89 to the prepetition amount owed of \$27,414.92. Claim is based on lease rejection damages but claim does not include any evidence or support of claimant's efforts to mitigate damages. Lease rejection damages asserted are \$480,288.97. Lease is governed by Nevada law. Under Nevada law, a landlord must take reasonable efforts to mitigate damages arising from a tenant's breach. <i>See</i> Sheehan & Sheehan, 117 P.2d 219, 226 (Nev. 2005). Accordingly, claim should be reduced and allowed at the amount asserted for prepetition amounts due of \$27,414.92.
6	TDAF I Pru Hotel Urban Renewal Company, LLC Genova Burns LLC c/o Lawrence Bluestone 30 Montgomery St. 11 th Floor Jersey City, NJ 07302	7/11/17	17-33550	Ignite Restaurant Group, Inc.	130	\$1,900,349.11 Unsecured	\$76,430.87 Unsecured	Claim should be reduced from \$1,900,349.11 to the prepetition amount owed of \$76,430.87. Claim is based on lease rejection damages but claim does not include any evidence or support of claimant's efforts to mitigate damages. Claimant admits on page 3 of addendum to claim that correct calculation of rejection damages would be \$273,587.74 but asserts full amount without any section 502(b)(6) cap and no reduction for mitigation of damages. Lease is governed by New Jersey law. Under New Jersey law, a commercial landlord has a duty to mitigate damages. In re Cornwall Paper Mills Co., 169 B.R. 844, 852 (Bankr. D.N.J. 1994). Claim does not include any evidence or support of claimant's efforts to mitigate damages. Accordingly, claim should be reduced and allowed at the amount asserted for prepetition amounts due of \$76,430.87.

EXHIBIT B

Declaration of Ivona Smith

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
HOUSTON DIVISION**

In re:)	Chapter 11
)	
IGNITE RESTAURANT GROUP, INC., et al.,¹)	Case No. 17-33550 (DRJ)
)	
Debtors.)	(Jointly Administered)
)	

**DECLARATION OF IVONA SMITH IN SUPPORT OF
GUC TRUST'S SIXTEENTH OMNIBUS OBJECTION TO CLAIMS
PURSUANT TO SECTION 502(B) OF THE BANKRUPTCY CODE AND RULE 3007 OF
THE FEDERAL RULES OF BANKRUPTCY PROCEDURE SEEKING TO REDUCE
AND ALLOW CERTAIN CLAIMS**

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I, Ivona Smith, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am the Authorized Representative at Drivetrain, LLC ("Drivetrain"). My business address is 410 Park Avenue, Suite 900, New York, NY 10022.
2. Contemporaneously with the filing of this Declaration, the GUC Trustee filed the *GUC Trust's Sixteenth Omnibus Objection to Claims Pursuant to Section 502(b) of the Bankruptcy Code and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure Seeking to Reduce and Allow Certain Claims* (the "Objection").²
3. The GUC Trustee has duly authorized me to make and submit this Declaration in support of the Objection.

¹ The Debtors in these chapter 11 cases, along with the last four digits of each Debtor's federal tax identification number (if any), are: Ignite Restaurant Group, Inc. (1359); Ignite Restaurant Group – RSC LLC (1791); Joe's Crab Shack, LLC (4189); Joe's Crab Shack – Redondo Beach, Inc. (5107); BHTT Entertainment, LLC (9818); Ignite Restaurants – New Jersey, LLC (5907); Joe's Crab Shack – Maryland, LLC (5297); Joe's Crab Shack – Anne Arundel MD, LLC (9318); Brick House Development, LLC (2944); JCS Monmouth Mall – NJ, LLC (3509); JCS Development LLC (4235). The Debtors' service address is: 10555 Richmond Avenue, Houston, Texas 77042.

² All capitalized terms used but not defined herein shall have the meanings given to them in the Objection.

4. The facts set forth in this Declaration are based upon my personal knowledge or upon records kept in the ordinary course of the Debtors' business provided to the GUC Trustee that were reviewed by me or other representatives of Drivetrain, LLC under my supervision and direction. If called and sworn as a witness, I could and would testify competently to the matters set forth herein.

5. I have coordinated and supervised the GUC Trustee's claims reconciliation process. Accordingly, I, or other representatives of Drivetrain, LLC under my supervision and direction, reviewed and analyzed the proofs of claim listed in **Schedule 1** to **Exhibit A** attached to the Objection.

The Objection

6. The GUC Trustee has reviewed each of the Reduce and Allow Claims listed on **Schedule 1** to **Exhibit A**, and has determined that each such claim overstates the general unsecured liability against the Debtors' estates and thus should be reduced to the amounts indicated on **Schedule 1** for the reasons set forth on **Schedule 1**. All of the Reduce and Allow Claims are rejection damage type claims that have overstated the liability against the estates, have not properly calculated the asserted damages based on the section 502(b)(6) statutory cap under the Bankruptcy Code, include amounts within the damages calculation that are not proper or compensable, or, in certain claims, have not included any evidence of the claimant's efforts to mitigate damages where such efforts are required under applicable law or whether any such mitigation was successful since the claim was filed, thereby reducing the asserted damages.

7. If the Reduce and Allow Claims are not reduced to the modified amounts listed on **Schedule 1**, the claimants could receive excessive recoveries to which they are not actually entitled, to the detriment of other general unsecured creditors.

8. For these reasons, the GUC Trustee respectfully requests that the Court reduce and allow the Reduce and Allow Claims to the modified amounts listed on **Schedule 1**.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief.

Dated: June 6, 2019

/s/ Ivona Smith

Ivona Smith
Drivetrain, LLC, in its capacity as GUC
Trustee of the Ignite Restaurant Group GUC
Trust